

Proof mining in \mathbb{R} -trees and hyperbolic spaces

Laurențiu Leuştean

TU Darmstadt, Germany

and

Institute of Mathematics "Simion Stoilow" of the Romanian Academy

General metatheorem

Theorem 1 (Gerhardy/Kohlenbach, 2005)

P Polish space, K compact metric space, ρ "small" type, $B_{\forall}(x^{\rho}, n^0)$, $C_{\exists}(x^{\rho}, m^0)$ contain only x, n free, resp. x, m free. Assume that

$$\mathcal{A}^{\omega}[X, d]_{-b} \vdash \forall z \in P \forall y \in K \forall x^{\rho} (\forall n B_{\forall}(x, n) \rightarrow \exists m C_{\exists}(x, m)).$$

Then there exists a computable functional $\Phi : \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \times \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbb{N} \times \dots \mathbb{N})} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that the following holds in all nonempty metric spaces (X, d) :

for all representatives r_z of $z \in P$ and all $x \in S_{\rho}$, $x^* \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbb{N} \times \dots \mathbb{N})}$, if there exists an $a \in X$ such that $x^* \underset{\sim_{\rho}}{>}^a x$, then

$$\forall y \in K (\forall n \leq \Phi(r_z, x^*) B_{\forall}(x, n) \rightarrow \exists m \leq \Phi(r_z, x^*) C_{\exists}(x, m)).$$

The theorem also holds for nonempty hyperbolic spaces (X, d, W) , CAT(0)-spaces, normed spaces, inner product spaces.

General metatheorem

- the metatheorem can be used as a black box: infer new uniform existence results without any proof analysis
- run the extraction algorithm:
 - extract an explicit effective bound
 - given proof $p \Rightarrow$ new proof p^* for the stronger result
 - new mathematical proof of a stronger statement which no longer relies at any logical tool

Metatheorems for other classes of spaces

- adapt the metatheorem to other classes of spaces:
 1. the language may be extended by α -majorizable constants
 2. the theory may be extended by purely universal axioms

Gromov hyperbolic spaces

(X, d) metric space

- the *Gromov product* of x and y with respect to the *base point* w is defined to be:

$$(x \cdot y)_w = \frac{1}{2}(d(x, w) + d(y, w) - d(x, y)).$$

- Let $\delta \geq 0$. X is called δ - *hyperbolic* if for all $x, y, z, w \in X$,

$$(x \cdot y)_w \geq \min\{(x \cdot z)_w, (y \cdot z)_w\} - \delta.$$

X is *Gromov hyperbolic* if it is δ -hyperbolic for some $\delta \geq 0$.

- X is δ - *hyperbolic* iff for all $x, y, z, w \in X$,

$$d(x, y) + d(z, w) \leq \max\{d(x, z) + d(y, w), d(x, w) + d(y, z)\} + 2\delta.$$

Gromov hyperbolic spaces

• The theory of Gromov hyperbolic spaces, $\mathcal{A}^\omega[X, d, \delta\text{-hyperbolic}]_{-b}$ is defined by extending $\mathcal{A}^\omega[X, d]_{-b}$ as follows:

1. add a constant δ^1 of type 1,

2. add the axioms

$$\delta \geq_{\mathbb{R}} 0_{\mathbb{R}},$$

$$\forall x^X, y^X, z^X, w^X \quad (d_X(x, y) +_{\mathbb{R}} d_X(z, w) \leq_{\mathbb{R}}$$

$$\leq_{\mathbb{R}} \max_{\mathbb{R}} \{d_X(x, z) +_{\mathbb{R}} d_X(y, w), d_X(x, w) +_{\mathbb{R}} d_X(y, z)\} +_{\mathbb{R}} 2 \cdot_{\mathbb{R}} \delta)$$

• Theorem 1 holds also for $\mathcal{A}^\omega[X, d, \delta\text{-hyperbolic}]_{-b}$ and nonempty Gromov hyperbolic spaces (X, d, δ)

W-hyperbolic spaces

[Takahashi, Goebel/Kirk, Reich/Shafirir, Kohlenbach]

A **W-hyperbolic space** is a triple (X, ρ, W) where (X, d) is metric space and $W : X \times X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ s.t.

$$(W1) \quad d(z, W(x, y, \lambda)) \leq (1 - \lambda)d(z, x) + \lambda d(z, y),$$

$$(W2) \quad d(W(x, y, \lambda), W(x, y, \tilde{\lambda})) = |\lambda - \tilde{\lambda}| \cdot d(x, y),$$

$$(W3) \quad W(x, y, \lambda) = W(y, x, 1 - \lambda),$$

$$(W4) \quad d(W(x, z, \lambda), W(y, w, \lambda)) \leq (1 - \lambda)d(x, y) + \lambda d(z, w).$$

Notation: $(1 - \lambda)x \oplus \lambda y := W(x, y, \lambda)$.

$$[x, y] := \{W(x, y, \lambda) : \lambda \in [0, 1]\}.$$

ℝ-trees

- ℝ-trees introduced by Tits('77)
- A *geodesic* in a metric space (X, d) is a map $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow X$ s.t. for all $s, t \in [a, b]$,

$$d(\gamma(s), \gamma(t)) = |s - t|$$

X is said to be a *geodesic space* if every two points are joined by a geodesic.

- A metric space (X, d) is an *ℝ-tree* if X is a geodesic space containing no homeomorphic image of a circle.
- X is an *ℝ-tree* $\Leftrightarrow X$ is a 0-hyperbolic geodesic space $\Leftrightarrow X$ is a W -hyperbolic space satisfying

$$d(x, y) + d(z, w) \leq \max\{d(x, z) + d(y, w), d(x, w) + d(y, z)\}.$$

ℝ-trees

- $\mathcal{A}^\omega[X, d, W, \mathbb{R}\text{-tree}]_{-b}$ results from $\mathcal{A}^\omega[X, d, W]_{-b}$ by adding the axiom:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall x^X, y^X, z^X, w^X (d_X(x, y) +_{\mathbb{R}} d_X(z, w) \leq_{\mathbb{R}} \\ \leq_{\mathbb{R}} \max_{\mathbb{R}} \{d_X(x, z) +_{\mathbb{R}} d_X(y, w), d_X(x, w) +_{\mathbb{R}} d_X(y, z)\}). \end{array} \right.$$

- Theorem 1 holds also for $\mathcal{A}^\omega[X, d, W, \mathbb{R}\text{-tree}]_{-b}$ and nonempty \mathbb{R} -trees.

Uniformly convex W -hyperbolic spaces

(X, ρ, W) is *uniformly convex* if for any $r > 0$, and $\varepsilon \in (0, 2]$ there exists a $\delta \in (0, 1]$ s. t. for all $a, x, y \in X$,

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} d(x, a) \leq r \\ d(y, a) \leq r \\ d(x, y) \geq \varepsilon r \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow d\left(\frac{1}{2}x \oplus \frac{1}{2}y, a\right) \leq (1 - \delta)r. \quad (1)$$

A mapping $\eta : (0, \infty) \times (0, 2] \rightarrow (0, 1]$ providing such a $\delta := \eta(r, \varepsilon)$ for given $r > 0$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, 2]$ is called a *modulus of uniform convexity*.

Uniformly convex W -hyperbolic spaces

• The theory $\mathcal{A}^\omega[X, d, W, \eta]_{-b}$ of uniformly convex W -hyperbolic spaces extends the theory $\mathcal{A}^\omega[X, d, W]_{-b}$ as follows:

1. add a new constant η_X of type 000,
2. add the following axioms:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall r^0 \forall k^0 \forall x^X, y^X, a^X (d_X(x, a) <_{\mathbb{R}} r \wedge d_X(y, a) <_{\mathbb{R}} r \wedge \\ \wedge d_X(W_X(x, y, 1/2), a) >_{\mathbb{R}} 1 - 2^{-\eta_X(r, k)} \rightarrow d_X(x, y) \leq_{\mathbb{R}} 2^{-k}), \end{array} \right.$$

$$\forall r^0, k^0 (\eta_X(r, k) =_0 \eta_X(q(r), k)).$$

• Theorem 1 holds also for $\mathcal{A}^\omega[X, d, W, \eta]_{-b}$ and nonempty uniformly convex W -hyperbolic spaces (X, d, W, η)

Fixed point theory of nonexpansive mappings

(X, d, W) W -hyperbolic, $C \subseteq X$ convex, $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ sequence in $[0, 1]$

- $T : C \rightarrow C$ nonexpansive if for all $x, y \in C$

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq d(x, y),$$

- The *Krasnoselski-Mann iteration* starting from $x \in C$:

$$x_0 := x, \quad x_{n+1} := (1 - \lambda_n)x_n \oplus \lambda_n Tx_n$$

- asymptotic regularity - defined by Browder/Petryshyn(66) for normed spaces:

T is λ_n -asymptotically regular if for all $x \in C$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, Tx_n) = 0.$$

Fixed point theory for nonexpansive mappings

Theorem Browder-Göhde-Kirk

$(X, \|\cdot\|)$ uniformly convex Banach space, $C \subseteq X$ non-empty convex, closed and bounded, $T : C \rightarrow C$ nonexpansive.

Then T has a fixed point.

Theorem Ishikawa '76

$(X, \|\cdot\|)$ Banach space, $C \subseteq X$ a nonempty convex bounded subset, $T : C \rightarrow C$ nonexpansive.

Suppose that (λ_n) is divergent in sum and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n < 1$.

Then T is λ_n -asymptotically regular.

Groetsch's Theorem

Theorem

(X, d, W, η) uniformly convex W -hyperbolic space s.t η decreases with r
 (for a fixed ε), $C \subseteq X$ nonempty convex,

$T : C \rightarrow C$ nonexpansive s.t. $Fix(T) \neq \emptyset$,

$(\lambda_n) \subseteq [0, 1]$ satisfying

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k (1 - \lambda_k) = \infty.$$

Then T is λ_n -asymptotically regular.

- version for uniformly convex W -hyperbolic spaces of an important theorem for Banach spaces proved by Groetsch(72)

Logical analysis

$\mathcal{A}^\omega[X, d, W, \eta]_{-b}$ proves:

$$\forall(\lambda_n) \subseteq [0, 1] \forall x \in X, T : X \rightarrow X \left(\text{Mon}(\eta, r) \wedge T n.e. \wedge \text{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset \right. \\ \left. \wedge \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k (1 - \lambda_k) = \infty \rightarrow \lim d(x_n, Tx_n) = 0 \right)$$

\Leftrightarrow

$$\forall(\lambda_n) \subseteq [0, 1] \forall x \in X, T : X \rightarrow X \left(\text{Mon}(\eta, r) \wedge T n.e. \wedge \text{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset \right. \\ \left. \wedge \exists \theta : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \forall n \in \mathbb{N} (n \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\theta(n)} \lambda_i (1 - \lambda_i)) \rightarrow \lim d(x_n, Tx_n) = 0 \right)$$

Logical analysis

⇔

$$\forall \theta : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \forall \lambda_{(\cdot)} \in [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}} \forall x \in X, T : X \rightarrow X$$

$$(T \text{ n.e.} \wedge \text{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset \wedge \text{Mon}(\eta, r) \wedge \forall n \in \mathbb{N} (n \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\theta(n)} \lambda_i (1 - \lambda_i)))$$

$$\rightarrow \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \forall n \geq N (d(x_n, Tx_n) < 2^{-k})$$

⇔

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N} \forall \theta : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \forall \lambda_{(\cdot)} \in [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}} \forall x \in X, T : X \rightarrow X$$

$$(T \text{ n.e.} \wedge \text{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset \wedge \text{Mon}(\eta, r) \wedge \forall n \in \mathbb{N} (n \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\theta(n)} \lambda_i (1 - \lambda_i)))$$

$$\rightarrow \exists N \in \mathbb{N} (d(x_N, Tx_N) < 2^{-k})$$

Logical analysis

$$\forall k^0 \forall \theta^1 \forall \lambda_{(\cdot)}^{0 \rightarrow 1} \forall x^X, T^{X \rightarrow X} (T n.e. \wedge Fix(T) \neq \emptyset \wedge Mon(\eta, r) \wedge \\ \wedge \forall n^0 (n \leq_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{i=0}^{\theta(n)} \lambda_i (1 - \lambda_i)) \rightarrow \exists N^0 (d_X(x_N, T(x_N)) <_{\mathbb{R}} 2^{-k})),$$

where $\lambda_{(\cdot)}^{0 \rightarrow 1}$ represents an element of the compact metric space $[0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}$ with the product metric.

Concrete consequence of metatheorem

Corollary

P Polish space, K compact Polish space, B_{\forall} , and C_{\exists} be as in Theorem 1.

Assume that $\mathcal{A}^\omega[X, d, W, \eta]$ proves that

$$\forall z \in P \forall y \in K \forall x^X, T^{X \rightarrow X} (T \text{ n.e.} \wedge \text{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset \wedge \forall n^0 B_{\forall} \rightarrow \exists N^0 C_{\exists}),$$

then there exists a computable functional $\Phi : \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ s.t.

$$\forall r_z \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \forall b \in \mathbb{N} \forall y \in K \forall x^X, T^{X \rightarrow X} (T \text{ n.e.} \wedge \\ \wedge \forall \delta > 0 (\text{Fix}_\delta(T, x, b) \neq \emptyset) \wedge \forall n^0 B_{\forall} \rightarrow \exists N \leq_0 \Phi(r_z, b, \eta_X) C_{\exists})$$

holds in any nonempty uniformly convex W -hyperbolic space.

$$\text{Fix}_\delta(T, x, b) := \{y^X \mid d_X(y, T(y)) \leq_{\mathbb{R}} \delta \wedge d_X(x, y) \leq_{\mathbb{R}} b\}.$$

Logical analysis

Corollary yields the existence of a computable functional $\Phi(k, \theta, b, \eta)$ such that for all $(\lambda_n) \in [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}, x \in X, T : X \rightarrow X,$

$$T \text{ n.e.} \wedge \text{Mon}(\eta, r) \wedge \forall \delta > 0 (\text{Fix}_\delta(T, x, b) \neq \emptyset) \wedge \\ \wedge \forall n (n \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\theta(n)} \lambda_i (1 - \lambda_i)) \rightarrow \exists N \leq \Phi(k, \theta, b, \eta) (d(x_N, T(x_N)) \leq 2^{-k})$$

holds in any nonempty uniformly convex W -hyperbolic space (X, d, W, η) .

Bounds on asymptotic regularity

Theorem

(X, d, W, η) uniformly convex W -hyperbolic space s.t η decreases with r ,
 $C \subseteq X$ convex bounded subset with diameter d_C , $T : C \rightarrow C$ n. e.

$$(\lambda_n) \subseteq [0, 1], \theta : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \text{ s. t. } \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\theta(n)} \lambda_i (1 - \lambda_i) \geq n \right).$$

Then T is λ_n -asymptotic regular and moreover

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \forall n \geq \Phi(\varepsilon, \theta, d_C, \eta) \left(\rho(x_n, Tx_n) \leq \varepsilon \right).$$

- for uniformly convex normed spaces: Kohlenbach, J. Math. Anal. and Appl.(03)

Bounds on asymptotic regularity

L., J. Math. Anal. and Appl. (to appear).

- extraction of $\Phi(\varepsilon, \theta, d_C, \eta)$:

$$\Phi(\varepsilon, \theta, d_C, \eta) := \begin{cases} \theta \left(\left[\frac{d_C + 1}{\varepsilon \cdot \eta \left(d_C + 1, \frac{\varepsilon}{d_C + 1} \right)} \right] \right) & \text{for } \varepsilon < 2d_C \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- quadratic rate of asymptotic regularity for CAT(0)-spaces and \mathbb{R} -trees

$$\Phi(\varepsilon, d_C, \lambda) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\lambda(1-\lambda)} \left[\frac{4(d_C + 1)^2}{\varepsilon^2} \right] & \text{for } \varepsilon < 2d_C \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Effective bounds for asymptotic regularity

	$\lambda_n = \lambda$	general λ_n
Hilbert	quadratic: Browder/Petryshyn(67)	$\theta \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \right)$: K.(03)
UC normed	K.(03), Kirk/Martinez(90)	K.(03)
normed	quadratic: Baillon/Bruck(96)	K.(01)
\mathbb{R} -trees, CAT(0)	quadratic: L.	$\theta \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \right)$: L.
UC W -hyperbolic	L.	L.
W -hyperbolic	exponential: K./L.(03)	K./L.(03)