### An Initial Approach to Reuse Non-Functional Requirements Knowledge

Rodrigo Veleda and Luiz Marcio Cysneiros

School of Information Technology York University, Canada rveleda@yorku.ca, cysneiro@yorku.ca



## Outline

- Motivation
- Related Work
- Objectives and Scientific contribution
- Ongoing Work
  - NDR Ontology
- Future Work
  - NDR Framework Conceptual Architecture
  - jUCMNav Integration
- References



#### Motivation

- Non-functional Requirements (NFRs) are:
  - Fuzzy by its nature
  - Difficult to identify
  - Sometimes missed along the process
- A solution to implement a given NFR might affect another NFR
- The use of Softgoal Interdependency Graphs (SIGs) catalogues [1] contribute to avoid omissions and missed conflicts. However, according to empirical work [2], SIGs may not scale too well over complex contexts.



#### Related Work (1/2)

- *Doerr et al.* [3] and *Zhang et al.* [4] proposed experience-based elicitation and recommendation for the use of NFRs in software service.
- Other approaches [5, 6, 7] aim the use of ontologies to assist NFR elicitation.
- None of these proposed works address the challenges of potential trade-offs between NFRs. Also, nor they have a direct interaction with *i*\* Tools to promote the reuse of knowledge.



#### Related Work (2/2)

- Al Balushi et al. [6] introduced the ElicitO framework as an ontology-based tool that supports NFRs elicitation.
- Najera et al. [7] highlights an approach that uses OWL and RDF for representation of *i*\* variants.
- Sancho et al. [8] proposed an ontological database represented by the NFR Ontology and SIG Ontology.
- Guizzardi et al. [9] emphasize the understanding of NFRs as quality attributes based on the Unified Foundation Ontology (UFO).



### Objectives and Scientific contribution (1/2)

- Long-term goal: NDR Framework
  - A framework that aids software engineers to elicit and model NFRs based on the knowledge that has previously been elicited and validated
- Current first goal: NDR Tool
  - A tool to store NFR information into a knowledge base and allow querying at different levels for retrieving this existent information



### Objectives and Scientific contribution (2/2)

- At first, our environment will only accept queries from the academic community.
- In a near future, we envision to allow members from industry to query the knowledge base and submit comments
- At a later stage, we aim to accept contributions to add to the knowledge base from a broad audience



## Ongoing work – NDR Ontology (1/4)

- Currently, the NDR Ontology [10] is the baseline for our proposed knowledge base
- NDR Ontology characteristics:
  - Represents NFRs and design argumentative rationale knowledge in a machine-readable format
  - Follows the proposed standards of OWL [11]
  - Complies with RDF [12] to encode information into resources
  - Uses RDF Schema [13] to describe properties and classes over the RDF resources



## Ongoing work – NDR Ontology (2/4)



Softgoal-related concepts and relationships [10]



### Ongoing work – NDR Ontology (3/4)



#### Ontology instances [10]



### Ongoing work – NDR Ontology (4/4)

```
<nfrs:NFR_Type rdf:ID="NFR_Usability">
  <rdfs:label>Usability</rdfs:label>
</nfrs:NFR_Type>
<ndr:NFRSoftgoal rdf:ID="UH_Usability">
  <ndr:type rdf:resource="#NFR_Usabili
 ty"/>
  <rdfs:label>Usability</rdfs:label>
</ndr:NFRSoftgoal>
<ndr:NFRSoftgoal rdf:ID="UH_Usefulness">
  <rdfs:label>Usefulness</rdfs:label>
  <ndr:label rdf:resource="../ndr/
  ndr.owl#Satisficed"/>
  <ndr:type rdf:resource=
  "#NFR_Usability"/>
</ndr:NFRSoftgoal>
<ndr:NFRDecomposition rdf:ID=
"uh nfrdec2">
  <ndr:nfrDecHead rdf:resource=
  "#UH_Usability"/>
  <ndr:nfrDecTail rdf:resource=
  "#UH_Usefulness"/>
 <ndr:contributionKind rdf:resource=
  "../ndr/ndr.owl#Help"/>
</ndr:NFRDecomposition>
```

NFR Usability ontology instance represented with OWL [10]



## Ongoing work – NDR Ontology (3/3)

 A graphical visualization of the NDR Ontology in our platform using Web-VOWL [14]:



# Future work – NDR Framework Conceptual Architecture (1/7)

• The NDR Framework Architecture overview:





# Future work – NDR Framework Conceptual Architecture (2/7)

- Main characteristics:
  - NDR Tool in a cloud environment
  - Generic ontology repository
  - Relevant knowledge detection based on definitions manually specified by administrators
  - Knowledge retrieval through web services
  - Possibility of integration with multiple *i*\* Tools



# Future work – NDR Framework Conceptual Architecture (3/7)

Applicability in a real world scenario with a given SIG representing the NFR of Transparency



15

# Future work – NDR Framework Conceptual Architecture (4/7)

NDR Framework internal behaviour based on the current example:





## Future work – NDR Framework Conceptual Architecture (5/7)

- The reuse of knowledge will be possible by the use of SPARQL [15] queries
- In the current example, a user wants to know all the correlations that are directly related to the *satisficing* of Transparency. Internally, the NFR Tool will produce a SPARQL query similar to the following:

DISTINCT SELECT ?interlinkId ?softgoalParent ?softgoalSpring ndr:Correlation. ?contributionKind {?interlinkId rdf:type WHERE ?interlinkId ndr:correlationHead ?softgoalParent. ?interlinkId ndr:correlationTail ?interlinkId ndr:contributionKind ?softgoalSpring. ?contributionKind.}



# Future work – NDR Framework Conceptual Architecture (6/7)

 The machine-readable format result of the previous SPARQL query will be similar to the following table:

interlinkId	softgoalParent	softgoalSpring	contribution
ndr:UH_correlation2	ndr:Informativiness	ndr:Anonymity	ndr:Hurt
ndr:UH_correlation7	ndr:Integrity	ndr:Data_Share_and_Use	ndr:Help
ndr:UH_correlation1	ndr:Usability	ndr:Anonymity	ndr:Hurt
ndr:UH_correlation6	ndr:Completeness	ndr:Data_Share_and_Use	ndr:Help
ndr:UH_correlation4	ndr:Operability	ndr:Data_Share_and_Use	ndr:Help
ndr:UH_correlation8	ndr:Decomposability	ndr:Data_Share_and_Use	ndr:Help
ndr:UH_correlation5	ndr:Adaptability	ndr:Data_Share_and_Use	ndr:Help
ndr:UH_correlation3	ndr:Availability	ndr:Data_Share_and_Use	ndr:Help





# Future work – NDR Framework Conceptual Architecture (7/7)

- Key points noteworthy to mention:
  - Open-source concepts will be used as approaches for internal knowledge extraction and conversion.
  - The knowledge retrieval will be query-free to the end-user. In other words, the framework will be responsible by the abstraction between the user request and the actual needed information.
  - The possibility of having results in a graphical way will depend on the level of integration with a given *i*\* Tool.



## Future work – jUCMNav Integration (1/3)

- As a proof of concept, we aim to integrate our framework with jUCMNav [16].
- jUCMNav main characteristics:
  - Open-source
  - Cross-platform
  - Extensible
- After a careful analysis, we concluded that jUCMNav can provide us the possibility of presenting results in a graphical way due to its extensibility.



#### Future work – jUCMNav Integration (2/3)





### Future work – jUCMNav Integration (3/3)

- Noteworthy to mention:
  - All our efforts will take into account the development of an interactive approach that can work with as many *i*\* Tools as possible.
  - Minimum requirements such as the level of extensibility and supported platforms will be taken into account for each candidate *i*\* Tool.



#### References

 Chung, L., Nixon, B.A., Yu, E., Mylopoulos, J.: Non-Functional Requirements in Software En- gineering. Springer US (1999)
 Cysneiros, L.M.: Evaluating the Effectiveness of using Catalogues to Elicit Non-Functional Requirements. In: Proc. of 10th Workshop in Requirements Engineering, pp. 107–115 (2007)

[3] Doerr, J., Kerkow, D., Koenig, T., Olsson, T., Suzuki, T.: Non-functional requirements in industry - three case studies adopting an experiencebased NFR method. Requirements Engineering, 2005. Proceedings. 13th IEEE International Conference on. pp. 373–382 (2005)

[4] Zhang, X.-L., Chi, C.-H., Ding, C., Wong, R.K.: Non-functional Requirement Analysis and Recommendation for Software Services. Web Services (ICWS), 2013 IEEE 20th International Conference on. pp. 555–562 (2013)

[5] Wang, T., Si, Y., Xuan, X., Wang, X., Yang, X., Li, S., Kavs, A.J.: A QoS Ontology Cooperated with Feature Models for Non-functional Requirements Elicitation. Proceedings of the Second Asia-Pacific Symposium on Internetware. pp. 17:1–17:4. ACM, New York, NY, USA (2010)

[6] Al Balushi, T., Sampaio, P.F., Dabhi, D., Loucopoulos, P.: ElicitO: A Quality Ontology-Guided NFR Elicitation Tool. In: Sawyer, P., Paech, B., and Heymans, P. (eds.) Requirements Engineering: Foundation for Software Quality. pp. 306–319. Springer Berlin Heidelberg (2007

[7] Najera, K., Martinez, A., Perini, A., Estrada, H.: An Ontology-Based Methodology for Integrating i\* Variants. Presented at the June (2013)

[8] Sancho, P.P., Juiz, C., Puigjaner, R., Chung, L., Subramanian, N.: An Approach to Ontology- aided Performance Engineering Through NFR Framework. Proceedings of the 6th International Workshop on Software and Performance. pp. 125–128. ACM, New York, NY, USA (2007)

[9] Guizzardi, R., Li, F.-L., Borgida, A., Guizzardi, G., Horkoff, J., Mylopoulos, J.: An ontological interpretation of non-functional requirements. Presented at the Formal Ontology in Information Systems: Proceedings of the Eighth International Conference (FOIS 2014) (2014)

[10] Lopez, C., Cysneiros, L.M., Astudillo, H.: NDR Ontology: Sharing and Reusing NFR and De- sign Rationale Knowledge. Managing Requirements Knowledge, 2008. MARK '08. First International Workshop on. pp. 1–10 (2008)

[11] McGuinness, D.L., van Harmelen, F.: OWL Web Ontology Language Overview. W3C Recommendation, http://www.w3.org/TR/2004/REC-owl-features-20040210/ (2004)

[12] Carroll, J.J, Klyne, G.: RDF concepts and abstract syntax, http://www.w3.org/TR/rdf-concepts/ (2004)

[13] Brickley D., Guha,R.: RDF vocabulary description language 1.0: RDF Schema, W3C working draft, http://www.w3.org/TR/2002/WD-rdf-schema-20021112/ (2002)

[14] Lohmann, S., Link, V., Marbach, E., Negru, S.: WebVOWL: Web-based Visualization of Ontologies. In: Lambrix, P., Hyvönen, E., Blomqvist, E., Presutti, V., Qi, G., Sattler, U., Ding, Y., and Ghidini, C. (eds.) Knowledge Engineering and Knowledge Management. pp. 154–158. Springer International Publishing (2015)

[15] Prud'hommeaux, E., Seaborne, A.: SPARQL Query Language for RDF.

[16] Mussbacher, G., Amyot, D.: Goal and scenario modeling, analysis, and transformation with jUCMNav. Software Engineering - Companion Volume, 2009. ICSE-Companion 2009. 31st International Conference on. pp. 431–432 (2009)





#### Thank you Questions?

